

PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> : C09D 9/00, C11D 7/26, 7/50, B08B 3/08		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 94/29392
			(43) International Publication Date: 22 December 1994 (22.12.94)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US94/06523		(81) Designated States: AU, BR, CA, JP, KR, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).	
(22) International Filing Date: 8 June 1994 (08.06.94)			
(30) Priority Data: 074,887 9 June 1993 (09.06.93) US		Published With international search report.	
(71) Applicant: MOTSENBOCKER ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT, INC. [US/US]; 87 Felspar Street, San Diego, CA 92109 (US).			
(72) Inventor: MOTSENBOCKER, Gregg, A.; P.O. Box 5857, Blue Jay, CA 92317 (US).			
(74) Agents: BERG, Richard, P. et al.; Ladas & Parry, Suite 2100, 5670 Wilshire Boulevard, Los Angeles, CA 90036-5679 (US).			
(54) Title: CLEANSER FOR RELEASING ADHERENT DEPOSITS FROM SURFACES			
(57) Abstract			
<p>According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a cleanser for releasing adherent deposits from surfaces, the cleanser consisting essentially of the following components, whose percentages are expressed relative to the total weight of these components without including other materials that might be included in minor amounts which do not interfere with the intended action of the cleaning: Ethylene Glycol n-Butyl Ether (Glycol EB), between about 5.0 % and about 40.0 %; Acetone, between about 5.0 % and about 40.0 %; Dibasic Ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of any two or more of them) between about 5.0 % and about 40.0 %; water, if desired, to make 100 %. According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of releasing adherent deposits from a surface comprising: applying the composition described above to the deposit, and permitting it to release the deposit from the surface; and removing the released deposit from the surface.</p>			

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	GB	United Kingdom	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GE	Georgia	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BE	Belgium	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BF	Burkina Faso	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BG	Bulgaria	IE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BJ	Benin	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BR	Brazil	JP	Japan	PT	Portugal
BY	Belarus	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	KG	Kyrgyzstan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CS	Czechoslovakia	LV	Latvia	TG	Togo
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TJ	Tajikistan
DE	Germany	MD	Republic of Moldova	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
DK	Denmark	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FI	Finland	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
FR	France			VN	Viet Nam
GA	Gabon				

**CLEANSER FOR RELEASING ADHERENT DEPOSITS FROM SURFACES****Field of the Invention**

This invention relates to a biodegradable cleanser which can be extended with water for releasing adherent deposits from porous, non-porous, soft, and delicate surfaces.

**Background of the Invention**

Removal of paints and paint-like deposits is an old and well-developed art. Generally the objective is to soften the deposit, usually by at least partially dissolving it so that it can be scraped away. The intended effect is usually that of dissolving the material so it becomes fluid. The result is generally a softening of the deposit accompanied by some liquids. The difficulty of pursuing this softened material into cracks and structural intersections is well-known. One response to this problem is to dip the object into a tank of stripper and let it dissolve away.

Not only are these techniques very troublesome, but disposition of the stripper and of its contents is becoming more of an environmental problem. Generally, these strippers use strong organic solvents which, in addition to their disposal problems, constitute a potential health hazard to the user, to the environment, and to the substrate structure.

Despite these inherent problems, because they represent the best materials available, these materials are regularly used on durable, non-porous surfaces that can be regarded as "hard". Examples are the stripping of wood, and the cleansing of metal and enamel surfaces, subject to other problems discussed below.

However, they are not suitable for porous materials such as concrete, concrete block, stucco, cinder block, rocks and stone, bricks and trees. This is because the dissolved and softened material tends to enter the porous surface, from which it can be removed only partially and then only with great difficulty, and usually with damage to the surface. When the material flows into the pores, later attempts to flush it out can be expected to drive at least some of it deeper. Attempts are sometimes made to overcome this problem

by attacking the surface with a strong water jet, often with sandblast grits in it, or by sandblasting. This leaves modified areas which frequently have faint patterns of what was removed.

5           Soft surfaces, such as vinyls cannot withstand the action of these strippers, or of sandblasts. Neither can many delicate surfaces, for example plexiglass, where the plexiglass will be rendered translucent, rather than transparent.

10           Further, especially on large exposed areas such as retaining walls and highway signs, if this dissolved material is flushed from the surface, nearby areas will be contaminated by it. As a consequence, organizations such as the California Department of Transportation and many municipal entities  
15 simply cover graffiti with a patch of paint, leaving the deposits in place. A trip along many streets and freeways will disclose these patches, whose only merit is that they are less objectionable than what they cover.

          Further, even as to enamelled highway signs, where  
20 there is no penetration into the sign itself, the action of strippers is slow. While their action could be accelerated by the use of hot water, hot solvents, steam, and sandblasting, highway crews cannot carry along with them such equipment, which often must reach to very inconvenient places.  
25 Again, the run-off is itself objectionable, especially after the solvents evaporate.

          In an attempt to frustrate graffiti artists, it has become common practice to place a rather expensive layer of plastic material such as 3M 1150 on enamel signs or to  
30 impregnate the signs with laminate at the time of manufacture. Unfortunately these respond poorly to solvents applied hot, such as MEK and Kerosene, and even the new citrus-based solvents. Generally these tend to attack the laminations, often delaminating them, resulting in cracking and migration  
35 through the plastic to the sign surface. The inherent problem in solvents such as these is that their primary intended effect is to dissolve the adherent material. When quick dissolving of such deposits is intended, it is not surprising that at least some damage will be done to the substrates

because of these "hot" solvents.

Summary of the Invention

According to one aspect of the invention, there is provided a cleanser for releasing adherent deposits from surfaces, the cleanser consisting essentially of the following components, whose percentages are expressed relative to the total weight of these components without including other materials that might be included in minor amounts which do not interfere with the intended action of the cleaning: Ethylene Glycol n-Butyl Ether (Glycol EB), between about 5.0% and about 40.0%; Acetone, between about 5.0% and about 40.0%; Dibasic Ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of any two or more of them) between about 5.0% and about 40.0%; water, if desired, to make 100%.

According to another aspect of the invention, there is provided a method of releasing adherent deposits from a surface comprising: applying the composition of any of claims 1 to the deposit, and permitting it to release the deposit from the surface; and removing the released deposit from the surface.

There is therefore a need for a biodegradable cleanser, which can be extended with water, which works quickly and well at ambient temperatures, which primarily does not dissolve the substances being removed (although in some circumstances some solution may occur), whose effluent is principally a solid that is not itself objectionable, and which can be flushed away with water or wiped up with a cloth, or gathered with a squeegee. For large areas, removal by small volumes of high pressure jets of water will be preferred. All of these methods leave the surface cleansed of the deposit.

The invention preferably provides a method for removing the subject deposits from surfaces, which method produces an effluent that often is agreeably left where it drains next to the surface which was cleansed. It does not itself become a disposal problem. In fact, often it can be swept up, raked up, or simply covered up with dirt. The composition is biodegradable.

The invention may also provide a cleanser and a

method in which the principal mechanism for removal of the deposit is interruption of its physical bond with the surface, followed primarily by removal of the material in a solid condition. Often it is particulate, but in other  
5 circumstances it may form a soft layer which can be gathered up as stated above.

The invention may still further provide a more affordable cleanser, both in its inherent cost and in the elimination of damage to cleansed surfaces. Known stripper  
10 type compositions tend to use expensive organic solvents, sometimes for their own action, and sometimes as a carrier for other components. They themselves frequently damage the surface to be cleansed. No other carrier can be less expensive than water, which this cleanser can use.  
15 Furthermore this invention may enable the use of a group of components some of which, if used alone could frustrate the intended action. For reasons which are presently not fully understood, the combination is more benign, resulting in little or no dissolving of the deposits. Used alone, these  
20 components vigorously attack and dissolve paints and paint-like deposits. The combination of ingredients provided by this invention attains the intended results with them, but cause no, or at most minimal, damage to the substrate.

The so-called "green" solvents in general do not  
25 work as well as the products of this invention, and are considerably more expensive. While using relatively inexpensive components, the formulations of this invention are preferably safe for the user, safe for the environment, safe for the surface, are biodegradable, and when extended by a  
30 carrier, can use water and thereby be water-based.

#### Brief Description of the Invention

A cleanser according to this invention consists essentially of the following components:

- Ethylene glycol n-Butyl Ether (Glycol EB)
- 35 Acetone
- Dibasic ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate, dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of two or more of them)

Water, if desired.

Glacial acetic acid or phosphoric acid may be substituted for a minor amount of the above formulation for reasons to be disclosed. A thickener may be added to enable  
5 the cleanser to reside for a longer time on vertical or steep surfaces, such as street signs and walls. None of these additives when used in minor amounts adversely affects or materially changes the cleansing action of the cleanser as specified.

10 The invention will be fully understood from the following detailed description.

#### Detailed Description of the Invention

A cleanser according to this invention consists essentially of the following components in the ranges defined,  
15 the percentages being in weight relative to the weight of the total formulation in all of the examples given herein:

Glycol EB (ethylene glycol n-butyl ether), between about 5.0% to about 40.0%

Acetone, between about 5.0% to about 40.0%

20 Dibasic ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate, dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of two or more of them) between about 5.0% to about 40.0%

Water, if used, to make 100%.

The preferred formulation for general usage, and the  
25 presently preferred embodiment, consists essentially of the following components in the percentages defined:

Glycol EB (ethylene glycol n-butyl ether), about 15.0%

Acetone, about 14.0%

30 Dibasic ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate, dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of two or more of them), about 16.0%

Water to make 100% (about 55.0%)

This is a water-based cleanser with applicability  
35 to a wide range of applications.

The presently-preferred concentrated formulation, which can be used without dilution by water, and which can later be diluted with water as desired, is as follows:

Ethylene glycol n-butyl ether (Glycol EB) - about 33.3%.

Acetone - about 31.1%.

Dibasic ester (as defined above) about 35.5%.

5 The ingredients are all commercially available. Certain of these are further identified as follows:

Glycol EB (ethylene glycol n-Butyl ether) Cas No. 111-76-2

Glacial acetic acid - Cas No. 64-19-17

10 Dibasic ester - a mixture of dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate, and dimethyl succinate, obtainable from Ashland Chemical Inc., of Columbus, Ohio, under its mark DIBASIC ESTER 1.

15 This is a mixture of 66% dimethyl glutarate, (Cas No. 1119-40-0), 17% dimethyl adipate (Cas No. 627-93-0) and 16% dimethyl succinate (Cas No. 106-65-0). The total diester content of this product is 99%.

20 The water to be used should be de-ionized water, which minimizes cloudiness that might be caused by minerals in untreated water.

Substitution of some of the formulation by glacial acetic acid or phosphoric acid appears to enhance the breakage  
25 of the bond between the deposit and the substrate surface, and to decrease any tendency for the deposit to be dissolved. These are optional substitutions.

A suitable thickener if one is to be used, is obtainable from Degusa, under its trademark Aerosil-200, which  
30 when used will be added to the above formulation, generally between about 2% and at most 4% of the total formulation weight. A thickener will be added when the formulation is to be used on a surface which is so steep that a less viscous product would flow off of the substrate too quickly, such as  
35 from a wall or a vertical sign. However, as a commercial matter, a thickener will actually be added to all formulations to facilitate its use in all applications.

The percentages for the formulation itself are given without any of the above optional additives. When these or



any of them are used, they are substituted for an equal amount of the total formulation as defined, and they have no deleterious effect on the action of the formulation for its cleansing function.

- 5           This invention is primarily directed toward the removal of adherent layers of certain kinds of adherent deposits from many kinds of substrate surfaces. Among these are the following:

10           Street signs and freeway signs, such as reflectively silk screened, high intensity surfaces, and surfaces coated with protective materials, concrete, cinder block, cement, slumpstone, mountain rocks and split rocks, stucco, formica, glass, iron work, steel, stainless steel, aluminum, and other metals and alloys, brick - glazed and unglazed, vinyl, and  
15   trees; plastic, for example, plexiglass and fiberglass; wood, especially denser woods, tile glazed and unglazed, linoleum, clothing and fabrics generally, carpets, wallpaper removal and blackboards and dry mark boards.

- 20           This list is not intended to be exhaustive, but instead to be illustrative of the wide range of utility of this invention.

          The following are some examples of what are hereafter referred to as "adherent deposits":

- 25           Oil lacquers, water-based lacquers, high-gloss acrylics, acrylic enamels, enamel semi-gloss, flat-based paints, water-based enamels, urethane enamels, permanent markers, super enamels, Speed-E-Namels, primers, varnish, wood stains, high-liter inks, correction fluid, all aerosol paints, and wallpaper adhesives.

- 30           This list is not intended to be exhaustive, but instead to be illustrative of the wide range of utility of this invention. Adherent deposits are characterized by their formation of an adherent layer which, when dried, cured or hardened, is attached to the substrate by a physical bond  
35   which it is the purpose of this cleanser to eliminate, or at least to reduce it to the extent that the layer can physically be removed. The marker and Hi-liter inks, while they do not form this type of deposit, still appear to be removed by this composition by some mechanism from non-porous substrates,

without smearing. For this reason, they are included in the list.

The action of this cleanser is instructive to observe. It is applied to the deposit. Left for only a short  
5 time, usually for less than one minute, the cleanser will have penetrated the deposit. Then a perceptible release of the deposit from its substrate surface begins to be observed. Occasionally, the deposit will loosen in platelets. Left to  
10 work for a bit longer, the deposit divides itself into very small fragments. About three minutes is about the preferred residence time on porous surfaces. For non-porous, soft and delicate surfaces, a shorter time is required. Interestingly, there appears to be little or no solution of the deposit. Observation of the liquid cleanser after the action has  
15 occurred shows little if any evidence of solution, for example by transfer of colored material into solution. If placed in water, the water will remain essentially uncolored.

Significantly, an advantage of this invention is its quick action. A thickener, while it usually will be used,  
20 will be needed only when the surfaces are so steep that there would be insufficient residence time before it drained away, and also to confine it to the surface intended to be cleansed. Advantage should be taken of the quick action of this invention, which is one of its most desirable features.

25 The importance of this action, for example along highways, is that the deposits when washed from the surfaces can drain onto the ground as entrained material in a low volume stream of water. This effluent can be allowed to flow away, or can be washed away, or can be left on the ground  
30 where the entrained material can be covered, swept up, or raked up. Often this material is so ineffusive that it can simply be ignored, because it is inconsequential in size and bulk. The total volume is only that of the deposit which was removed, and it has not been extended by solution mechanisms.  
35 The substrate surface is left clean. When the deposit was removed, it was not removed in a form that penetrated the surface, such as by a solution or an emulsion, but rather as a suspension to be carried away by a stream of water, or even wiped up.

An action of this kind has not previously been observed or known to exist by the inventor herein. It is obtained by the formulation of this cleanser by means of a mechanism not fully understood by him, and its very nature is  
5 a matter of some speculation, but whatever the mechanism is, the result is as described. It appears to be the result of an interruption of the bond which held the deposit to the surface. Frequently, if the deposit is not removed, and the cleanser is allowed to evaporate, the deposit returns nearly  
10 to its previously adherent condition.

The components of this formulation have been used in other cleanser formulations, but in them their intended objectives appear to have been as solvents. For example, dibasic esters are notable for their ability to soften and  
15 dissolve substances of interest to this invention, and that is the very problem with their use in the applications intended for this cleanser. A review of their utility as evidenced by prior publications attests to the fact that their effect is to substitute one mess for another. However, their  
20 resulting mess is one which cannot entirely be removed from porous surfaces, and also which involves the disposal problems discussed above.

Similarly, Glycol EB and acetone are principally found in formulations where a surface coating is to be  
25 dissolved.

It is surprising that a combination of components which individually are classically directed to reducing a deposit to a solution or to a sludge, can be combined to form a composition which enables the adherent deposit to be removed  
30 freely from its substrate surface.

As illustrative examples, most or all of the adherent deposits of concern herein are quickly dissolved in a suitable aqueous solution of the dibasic esters. The addition of acetone does not appear to repress this action.  
35 An aqueous solution of acetone is an efficient solvent. The addition of dibasic esters results in the solvent action described above. Similar comments apply to the Glycol EB.

The preferred formulation is the most effective one which the inventor has been able to devise. Any composition

which departs from the preferred formulation but in which the components are still within the defined ranges, generally displays a lesser efficiency, and in some circumstances a tendency to soften the deposits, but still provides the advantages of this invention to an important extent.

The reasons for the synergy of the components are not understood, but their consequence is an effective and environmentally benign cleanser and environmentally benign effluent after application of the cleanser.

After a brief residence on the deposit, the cleanser and the loosened deposit, can be flushed, wiped, or scraped away. A reasonably strong stream of water is effective for this purpose. However, in many situations water will be in short supply, and also it is advantageous to reduce the total amount of effluent, so as to reduce the area over which it might flow.

A high-pressure water jet stream will be more effective in removing the particulate material from non-painted porous substrates such as concrete, stucco, stone, or brick. Such a stream will usually be needed only for such substrates. Only a surprisingly small volume of water is needed, which can be carried in a pressure tank which may be so small as to be carried by the workman. There is a wide range of devices for this purpose sold on the market. However, for producing a low volume, high pressure jet spray, the inventor herein has found the conventional "airless" paint gun sprayer to be superior. Many examples of this type of sprayer are available on the market. It can discharge water in an even fan spray at a high velocity. One suitable gun delivers a 4 inch wide fan-shaped jet spray of water up to 3,000psi. This is very effective, and is a high velocity, low volume jet of water. It successfully blows off the deposits, and provides enough water for them to be washed away without requiring excessive water for the purpose. Supplementary washing can be provided, but will rarely be needed.

For reasons not understood by the inventor, some stains, markers, and High-Lighters, varnishes, lacquers, stains such as wood stains, can also be removed but not from porous substrates. These do not appear to come away as

solids, but to a reasonable degree they will be removed from surfaces such as plexiglass and vinyl. Water based adhesives used for handing wallpaper are also released. The wallpaper's condition is unimportant. The importance is its release.

5           This product will preferably be sold with water in it as specified above, because this is a stable, clear mixture readily useable without further care. There are, however, applications where water would more advantageously be added later. Adding it to a container of water, or adding water to  
10 it at or near the point of use or sale may, in some instances, be more convenient and more economical to ship than carrying the entire pre-mixed product to the point of use.

Also, although it ordinarily will not be preferred, the concentrated formulation can be used without dilution.  
15 However, the action of the concentrate is not as effective as the formulation with water in it. It appears that some of the components may preferentially evaporate, leaving the others in a concentration which may more vigorously attack the deposit, sometimes dissolving it. Still, it can be used  
20 directly and is useful if used with more care than need be given to a water containing cleanser. Generally, at least about 20% by weight of the formulation will be water, in order to provide a product with widespread utility.

This invention is not to be limited by the  
25 embodiments described in the description, which are given by way of example and not of limitation, but only in accordance with the scope of the appended claims.

CLAIMS

1. A cleanser for releasing adherent deposits from surfaces, said cleanser consisting essentially of the following components, whose percentages are expressed relative to the total weight of these components without including other materials that might be included in minor amounts which do not interfere with the intended action of the cleaning:
- Ethylene Glycol n-Butyl Ether (Glycol EB), between about 5.0% and about 40.0%
- Acetone, between about 5.0% and about 40.0%
- Dibasic Ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of any two or more of them) between about 5.0% and about 40.0%;
- Water, if desired, to make 100%.
2. A cleanser according to claim 1 in which a minor amount of glacial acetic acid or phosphoric acid, or a thickener, or any two or more of them, is substituted for an equal amount of said composition.
3. A cleanser for releasing adherent deposits from surfaces, said cleanser consisting essentially of the following components, whose percentages are expressed relative to the total weight of these components without including other materials that might be included in minor amounts that do not interfere with the intended action of the cleaner:
- Ethylene Glycol n-Butyl Ether (Glycol EB) about 15.0%
- Acetone, about 14.0%
- Dibasic Ester (dimethyl adipate, dimethyl glutarate and dimethyl succinate, or a mixture of two or more of them), about 16.0%
- Water to make 100% (about 55%).
4. A cleanser according to claim 3 in which a minor amount of glacial acetic acid, or phosphoric acid, or a thickener or any two or more of them, is substituted for an equal amount of said composition.
5. A cleanser for releasing adherent deposits from surfaces, said cleanser consisting essentially of the following components, whose percentages are expressed relative

to the total weight of these components without including other materials that might be included in minor amounts which do not interfere with the intended action of the cleanser:

- 5     Ethylene Glycol n-Butol Ether (Glycol EB), - about 33.3%;
- Acetone - about 31.1%;
- Dibasic ester - about 35.5%.

6.     A cleanser according to claim 5 in which a minor amount of glacial acetic acid, or phosphoric acid, or a  
10    thickener, or any two or more of them, is substituted for an equal amount of said composition.

7.     A method of releasing adherent deposits from a surface comprising:

          a. applying the composition of any of claims 1 to  
15    said deposit, and permitting it to release said deposit from said surface; and

          b. removing said released deposit from said surface.

8.     A method according to claim 7 in which a stream of water is directed against said released deposits to remove  
20    them.

9.     A method according to claim 7 in which said stream of water is produced by an "airless" paint gun.

10.    A method according to claim 7 in which the released deposit is physically removed.

25    11.    A method according to claim 9 in which the released deposit is removed by wiping.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US94/06523

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) : C09D 9/00; C11D 7/26, 7/50; B08B 3/08

US CL : 134/38,40;252/162,170,171,174.19, 174.21, DIG 8

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 134/38,40;252/162,170,171,174.19, 174.21, DIG 8

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X,P	US,A, 5,227,085 (Motsenbocker) 13 July 1993, see claims 1-15 and col. 3, lines 49-63.	1-11
Y	US,A, 4,309,300 (Danforth et al) 05 January 1982, see abstract, col. 3, lines 3-54, col. 4, lines 34-42, and claim 1.	1-11
Y	US,A, 4,934,391 (Futch et al) 19 June 1990, see col. 1, lines 20-30, col. 2, line 55-col. 3, line 12, col. 3, lines 52-64, and col. 7, lines 9-18 and lines 34-50.	1-11
Y	US,A, 4,780,235 (Jackson) 25 October 1988, see col. 2, lines 22-48, Example 1, and claim 1.	1-11



Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.



See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
"E" earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"Z" document member of the same patent family
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

22 AUGUST 1994

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 SEP 1994

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230

Authorized officer

LINDA SKALING

Telephone No. (703) 308-2524



## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US94/06523

C (Continuation). DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT		
Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	US,A, 5,124,062 (Stevens) 23 June 1992, see abstract col. 4, lines 16-36, and col. 8, lines 54-55 and 61.	1-11
Y	US,A, 4,927,556 (Pokorny) 22 May 1990, see col. 3, lines 7-16.	1-11
Y	US,A, 5,089,164 (Stanley) 18 February 1992, see col. 2, lines 21-45.	1-2 and 7-11
A	US,A, 5,183,514 (Marquis et al) 02 February 1993, see cols 1-2.	1-11
A	US,A, 5,084,200 (Dishart et al) 28 January 1992, see abstract.	1-11
A	US,A, 4,465,612 (Altenschopfer et al) 14 August 1984, see abstract and col. 3, lines 36-39.	1-11